

A Comparative Study of Job Satisfaction between the Employees of Private Vs Public Sector Bank in Bhopal City (Madhya Pradesh)

¹Priyanka Pathak, ²Dr. Vishal Dilip Chavan

^{1,2}Research Scholar, Head and Professor

^{1,2}Department of Management, IES University, Bhopal

Abstract— a happy and satisfied workman is an asset of any organization and they devote much concern, dedication and creativity to their work. And of course bank employees are not an exception. An attempt has been made to study job satisfaction level among the public and private sector bank employees is one of the major objective of this study. This research finding is based on the responses obtained with the help of questionnaire from the selected sample of 172 from public and private bank employees within the Bhopal city. This research paper not only analyzes the level of satisfaction among bank employees but also tries to find out associations with different demographical variables. It was found that level of job satisfaction among both the bank employees more or less same. Due to their small sample size and restricted research area the findings of this study cannot be generalized, so I would suggest further research on large sample to validate findings.

Keywords: Job Satisfaction, Job Satisfaction Survey, Bank Employees.

1. INTRODUCTION

Job satisfaction is a core element of employee's behavior which not only affects the individual behavior but also benefited the goal of organization. Actually satisfied employees are more committed to their work. Job satisfaction comes from the variety of ways. Some employees satisfied with their pay structure or compensation, some are with nature of job, promotion, supervision, colleagues, benefits etc. A satisfied employee is free from work stress and they become more productive. Job satisfaction becomes a major concern for most of the organization. Good organization tries to find out the different approaches regarding employee's satisfaction. Management periodically review responses of their employees on the various function of HR and organizational policy and suggest innovative measures for their satisfaction. Getting satisfaction from the job it not only concern or effort of management. Individual employee is also responsible for job satisfaction, if, he become more self-aware and learns self-aptitudes, ability, values, preferences and interests and be prepared to expressive where they can best contribute and what is important to them.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The term job satisfaction refers to the attitude and feelings people have about their work. Positive and favorable attitudes towards the job indicate job satisfaction. Negative and unfavorable attitudes towards the job indicate job dissatisfaction (Armstrong, 2006).

Satisfied employee is inclined to be more industrious, inspired, and dedicated to their work (Syptak et al., 1999). Job satisfaction was proved to be an important construct in emotional and psychological employees' well-being (Klassen, Usher & Bong, 2010).

Vroom in his definition on job satisfaction focuses on the role of the employee in the workplace. Thus he defines job satisfaction as affective orientations on the part of individuals toward work roles which they are presently occupying (Vroom, 1964).

Hoppock defined job satisfaction as any combination of psychological, physiological and environmental circumstances that cause a person truthfully to say I am satisfied with my job (Hoppock, 1935).

According to this approach it was clear that job satisfaction is not only influenced by external factors,

it remains something internal that has to do with the way how the employee feels.

Job satisfaction can be defined also as the extent to which a worker is content with the rewards he or she gets out of his or her job, particularly in terms of intrinsic motivation (Statt, 2004).

Job satisfaction is a combination of positive or negative feelings that workers have towards their work. Every employee brings some needs, experience and expectation with them when he or she joins any organization and job satisfaction represents the extent to which expectations are and match the real awards. Job satisfaction is closely linked to that individual's behavior in the work place (Davis et al.,1985).

Job satisfaction is not only concern for employees but it is more important for employers. When employee get satisfied with their work and organization and become more productive. Brown (1996) notes that some employers have found that satisfying or delighting employees is a prerequisite to satisfying or delighting customers.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

From the above literature review of job satisfaction, we formulate few objectives of research which will help and guide us for smooth conduction of research and these are:

1. To find out the differences in the level of job satisfaction between employees of private and public sector banks.
2. To study the impact of different variables on the various factors affecting the overall job satisfaction.
3. To give suggestions about the influencing factors of employees' satisfaction as it in turn influences the productivity and services to the bank.

The research design opted for present study was descriptive in nature. Data were collected from both the primary as well as secondary. Primary data were collected through questionnaire JSS (Job Satisfaction Survey) by Spector. Non- probability convenient sampling was used present study. The samples were drawn from 200 employees of private and public

sector banks each in Bhopal City. Formerly JSS were given to a population of 200 employees, out of this 172 questionnaire were duly completed and returned, giving 86% return rate. JSS was used for the present study because this instrument was proven to be reliable and valid instrument which assesses overall job satisfaction nine facets and these nine facets are listed in the Table 1 below:

Table: 1

Facet	Description
1. Pay	Satisfaction with pay and pay raises
2. Promotion	Satisfaction with promotion opportunities
3. Supervision	Satisfaction with immediate supervisor
4. Fringe Benefits	Satisfaction with fringe benefits
5. Contingent rewards	Satisfaction with rewards (not necessarily monetary) for good performance
6. Operating conditions	Satisfaction with rules and procedures
7. Co-Workers	Satisfaction with co-workers
8. Nature of work	Satisfaction with type of work done
9. Communication	Satisfaction with communication within the organization

Source : *Spector (1997, p.8)*

Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 16.0 was used to analyze the data. The 36items in the instrument were loaded into SPSS 16.0 for analyzing using descriptive statistics table no. 3 and frequency Tables as shown in Tables no. 2 respectively. Z-test and Chi-square test are used for testing stated hypothesis:

H1: There is difference in the level of satisfaction regarding job between public and private sector bank employees.

H2: There is a relationship between demographical variables and job satisfaction amongst bank employees

4. DATA ANALYSIS

Demographic characteristic of respondent were shown in the Table 2. From the total number (172) of respondents 51% (n=88) public and 49% (n=84) private sector banks employees majority of respondent are male 65% (n=112) and female are 35% (n=60). From the frequency distribution presented in Table 2, it may be depicted 10% of the sample (n=17) are less than 25 years of age. It can thus be seen that the majority of the bank employees in the sample 65% (n=111) fall into the age category 26 - 35. And 25% (n=44) respondent fall under the category of 36 -45. The details of tenure of sample are categories into five parts, less than 5 years of experience having 67 (40%), 6 – 10 are 52 (30%), 11 – 15 are 35 (20%) and rest of 16 -20 and more than 21 years having 5% each. Number of dependents on the respective employees also influence the satisfaction level among the employees from the sample it may be deduced that 70% (n=120) employees having 1 or 2 dependents in their family, 25% (n=75) having 3 or 4 and only 5% (n=9) employees having none of the dependent. With regard to sector of bank majority of respondents 88 (51%) are in public sector and rest of them in private sector 84 (49%).

Table 2

Characteristics	Frequency	Percentage	
Gender	Male	112	65
	Female	60	35
Age	Less than 25	17	10
	26 – 35	111	65
	36 – 45	44	25
	More than 46	0	0
Tenure	Less than 5	67	40
	6 – 10	52	30
	11 – 15	35	20
	16 - 20	9	5
	More than 21	9	5
Marital Status	Married	78	45
	Unmarried	94	55
Number of Dependent	None	9	5
	1 – 2	120	70
	3 – 4	43	25
Banking Sector	Public Sector	88	51
	Private Sector	84	49

Job Satisfaction Survey: For the present study the various dimensions of Job Satisfaction were assessed by the arithmetic mean and standard deviations as

descriptive statistics. The results are presented in Table no. 3

Table 3

Variables	Total Norms by P.Spector*		Mean Score of the Present study (Public Sector Bank) n =88		Mean Score of the Present study (Private Sector Bank) n = 84	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Pay	11.1	2.6	16.1	4.12	14.35	4.81
Promotion	12	1.9	16.62	3.10	15.15	4.48
Supervision	19.2	1.5	16.27	2.93	19.17	5.57
Fringe Benefits	14.2	2.2	15.71	2.94	14.60	5.32
Operating Condition	13.5	2.2	11.85	2.17	11.46	4.23
Contingent Rewards	13.7	2.0	16.01	3.17	14.56	5.82
Nature of Work	19.2	1.3	15.15	3.34	15.06	5.06
Co-worker	19.2	1.1	17.47	3.29	17.81	5.34
Communication	14.4	1.8	14.78	3.71	16.90	4.49
Total Job Satisfaction	136.6	16.6	139.96	28.77	139.06	45.66

*Norms based on 8113 individuals from 52 samples (Spector, 1997).

Table 4

Association Between	Calculated Chi Square Value	Degree of Freedom	Table Value	Result
Gender and Job Satisfaction	0.91	1	3.841	Rejected (No Association)
Age and Job Satisfaction	33	3	7.815	Association Exists
Tenure and Job Satisfaction	17.9	4	9.488	Association Exists
Marital Status and Job Satisfaction	32.5	1	3.841	Association Exists
No. of Dependent and Job Satisfaction	12.2	3	7.815	Association Exists

Pay, promotion, supervision, benefits, contingent rewards, operating procedures, coworkers, nature of work and communication. All are the dimensions of job satisfaction and the arithmetic means of these among the public sector bank employees assessed by JSS vary from a mean of 11.85 to 17.47 and among private bank employees vary from mean 11.46 to 19.17.

When measured against the norm tables for the JSS conducted by Spector (1997), it can be seen that the employees at the both sector bank in Bhopal city

where the current research was undertaken, indicated high level of satisfaction with the various dimensions assessed by the JSS.

The mean values obtained for the public sector bank indicated that most employees experienced average to above average satisfaction with pay, promotion, supervision, contingent reward and co-worker, the rest of the dimensions fringe benefits, operating condition, nature of work and communication were experienced as less satisfactory. Private sector bank employees are most satisfied with the supervision, followed by co-workers, communication and promotions. They seem, however, to be least satisfied with their operating condition, pay, benefits, rewards and nature of work. The standard deviation for the overall level of job satisfaction of public bank employees is also not high (28.77), indicating that most respondents are close to the mean on this dimension as comparison to private bank employees (45.66). In simple words, level of job satisfaction is normally distributed among the employees of public banking sector as compared to private bank employees where few or more employees overall level of job satisfaction is extremely high or low.

5. HYPOTHESIS TESTING

H₁: There is difference in the level of job satisfaction between public and private sector bank employees. Taking the null hypothesis that there is no difference in the level of job satisfaction between public and private sector bank employees,

H₀: $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ and H_a: $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$

$$Z = \frac{X_1 - X_2}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2_{s1}}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma^2_{s2}}{n_2}}}$$

For testing hypothesis we shall use z-test for difference in means assuming the populations to be normal:

$$Z = \frac{139.96 - 139.06}{\sqrt{\frac{(28.77)^2}{88} + \frac{(45.66)^2}{84}}} = 0.90 / \sqrt{9.4 + 24.81} = 0.154$$

Since the population variances are not known, we have used the sample variances, considering the sample variances as the estimates of population variances. As H_a is two sided, we shall apply a two-tailed test for determining the rejection region at 5 percent level of significance which come to as under, using normal curve area table: R : $|z| > 1.96$

The observed value of z is 0.154 which falls in the acceptance region and thus we accept H₀ and conclude that there is no difference in the level of job satisfaction of public sector bank employees and private sector bank employees differ significantly.

6. DISCUSSION

Results of current study is quiet interesting because earlier studies and most of the research proven that there is a difference between satisfaction level of private and public sector banks employees satisfaction level at job. This study states some relevant and astonishing fact about satisfaction level like by the arithmetic mean of both the banks employee satisfaction level is high as comparison to Spector JSS there is very little difference between both the banks. The components of job satisfaction are relatively changed between two banks. Public sector bank employees are more satisfied with their pay, promotion and co-worker but less satisfied with operating condition, nature of work and communication. In contrast to them, private bank employees are most satisfied with supervision, co-worker and communication but less satisfied with their pay and benefits. By the analysis of standard deviation of both the banks it was concluded that level of job satisfaction is normally distributed among the employees of public banking sector as compared to private bank employees. It signifies, that in public sector bank employees more or less felt same level of satisfaction with job but in private sector bank employees standard deviation is high its means that employees having either extremely high or low level of job satisfaction. For testing both the hypothesis Z-test are used where result shown that there is no difference in the level of job satisfaction between the both banks employees and it was also supported by the analyzed arithmetic mean. Yes, defiantly the components of job satisfaction are differing in between both the banks employees but the level of job

satisfaction is more or less same. One of the major reasons behind this may be the working environment and pressure of top management to do more business in this current competitive market. Today's customers are much aware about banking services and banks trying to attract these customers which create a competition between banks. And of course this competition induces pressure among employees.

Second hypothesis is based on the demographical variables such as gender, age, and marital status, number of dependent in family and tenure of service. As results of Chi-square predict that male and female both having the same level of job satisfaction. Its mean some other factor apart from only gender will influence the satisfaction level. Other demographical variables (age, tenure, marital status and no. of dependent in family) having association with job satisfaction.

7. RECOMMENDATION

Study shows that job satisfaction level is already above average but this satisfaction is not normally distributed among all employees. So management should try to find out various ways like:

- Supervisor or senior treat their subordinates equally, so no one take undue advantage from their senior.
- Performance appraisal should be fair and transparent.
- Homogeneity in decision regarding employees' behavior.
- Proper delegation of work as par the caliber of the concern employee.
- Adequate pay structure, bonus, increment (for private sector banks).
- Informal meeting or parties make more effective communication among employees (especially in public sector banks employee)

8. LIMITATION

- Current study based on non-probability sampling method, so it becomes a chance that certain group of employees may have been under-presented.
- Study restricted to Bhopal city only.
- Sample size for study was relatively small and it may not represent the true population.

REFERENCES

- [1] Christen, Iyer and Soberman (2006) provide model of job satisfaction presented in Figure in which the following elements are included: Job related factors, Role perceptions, Job performance and Firm performance.
- [2] Brief, Arthur P. (1998). Attitudes in and Around Organization. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- [3] Hoppock, R. (1935). Job Satisfaction, Harper and Brothers, New York, p. 47
- [4] Armstrong, M. (2006). A Handbook of Human resource Management Practice, Tenth Edition, Kogan Page Publishing, London, , p. 264
- [5] Davis, K. and Nestrom, J.W. (1985). Human Behavior at work: Organizational Behavior, 7edition, McGraw Hill, New York, p.109
- [6] Statt, D. (2004). The Routledge Dictionary of Business Management, Third edition, Routledge Publishing, Detroit, p. 78
- [7] Vroom, V.H. (1964). Work and motivation, John Wiley and Sons, New York, p.99
- [8] Syptak, J.M., Marsland, D.W., & Ulmer, D. (1999). Job Satisfaction: Putting theory into practice. Family Practice Management, 26-30.
- [9] Spector, P.E. (1997). Job satisfaction: Application, assessment, causes and consequences. USA: SAGE Publications.
- [10] Hair, J.F., Babin, B., Money, A. & Samouel, P. (2003). Essentials of business research methods Leyh Publishing, LLC.
- [11] Klassen, R.M., Usher, E.L., & Bong, M. (2010). Teachers' collective efficacy, job satisfaction and job stress in cross – cultural context. The Journal of Experimental Education, 78, 464-486.