

Exploring Common Fixed-Point Theorems on Bi-Complex -Valued Fuzzy Metrics

Umashankar Singh¹, Naval Singh², Pradeep Kumar Dohare³, Bhawna Ayachit⁴

^{1,4} Sagar Institute of Research & Technology, Excellence, Bhopal, M.P India

²Govt. Dr. SPM Science & Commerce College, Bhopal, M.P India

³Raja Bhoj Govt. College Mandideep, Raisen M.P India

Abstract: The concept of a bicomplex valued fuzzy metric space is presented in this study, along with an examination of the function of occasionally weakly compatible mappings in the structure of such spaces. We enhance previous results in this field by establishing a number of common fixed-point results. We also provide examples to show that the results acquired are valid. These results advance our knowledge of fixed-point theory in fuzzy experiments and open up new avenues for investigation into generalized metric spaces and their applications.

Keywords: Complex fuzzy set, Bicomplex valued fuzzy metric space, Complex valued continuous t-norms, cf- Point, occasionally weakly compatible mapping.

How to cite this article: Umashankar Singh, Naval Singh, Pradeep Kumar Dohare, Bhawna Ayachit. (2025). Exploring Common Fixed-Point Theorems on Bi-Complex -Valued Fuzzy Metrics. 6th International Conference on Interdisciplinary Approaches in Science, Engineering and Technology-2025, Proceeding in IJSMRT, ISSN: 2582-8150, Volume-21, Issue-03, Number-01, Dec-2025, pp.01-08, URL: <https://www.ijsmrt.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/01/IJSMRT-25120301.pdf>

Copyright © 2025 by author (s) and International Journal of Scientific Modern Research and Technology Journal. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0)

[\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)



IJSMRT-25120301

I. INTRODUCTION

The concept of a fuzzy set was initially put forth by Zadeh in 1965 [18]. In order to address instances when the data is unclear or imprecise, it assigns a degree to which a certain object belongs to a fuzzy set. In 1975, Kramosil and Michalek [14] presented the innovative idea of FMS in two different methods. George and Veeramani [9] modified the idea of FMS by using continuous t-norms. Later, C.T. Aage and J.N. Salunke [1] developed the notions of weakly compatible mappings, compatible maps in the context of fuzzy metric space, and the proving of several fixed point theorems. After this, Thagafi and Shahzad [2] introduced the concept of sometimes weakly compatible mappings. Later, Pant [19] proposed the concept of reciprocally continuous maps and proved certain common fixed point theorems. Many scholars in this field have produced similar fixed point results that satisfy different commutative conditions. Fuzzy

complex numbers and fuzzy complex analysis were initially introduced by Buckley [6]. Buckley's study motivated some researchers to continue their research on fuzzy complex numbers. Ramot et al. [17, 18] extended fuzzy sets to complex fuzzy sets in this series as a generalization. Ramot argues that the complex fuzzy set is defined by a membership function that goes beyond [0, 1] to the unit circle in the complex plane. Azam et al. [4] introduced the idea of complex valued metric space and provided sufficient requirements for the existence of a pair of mappings' cf-point that satisfy contractive constraints. Later, D. Singh and Kumam [25] introduced the idea of complex valued fuzzy metric spaces utilizing the complex valued continuous t-norm and related topologies. I. Demir [8] presented the concept of complex valued fuzzy b-metric spaces after examining several fp outcomes. Rakesh Tiwari et al. [27] presented bicomplex valued fuzzy metric space and bicomplex valued fuzzy b-metric space in 2022 and presented some cf-point results.

In this research, we use occasionally weakly compatible mappings to establish some cf-point facts, motivated and inspired by the work of Rakesh Tiwari et al. [27].

II. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give some basic definitions which are useful for main result in this paper.

Let \mathbb{C}_1 be the set of complex numbers and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}_1$. Define a partial order \leq on \mathbb{C}_1 as $z_1 \leq z_2$ if $\text{Re}(z_1) \leq \text{Re}(z_2), \text{Im}(z_1) \leq \text{Im}(z_2)$. It follows that $z_1 \leq z_2$. If one of the following conditions are holds:

- (i) $\text{Re}(z_1) = \text{Re}(z_2)$ and $\text{Im}(z_1) = \text{Im}(z_2)$
- (ii) $\text{Re}(z_1) < \text{Re}(z_2)$ and $\text{Im}(z_1) = \text{Im}(z_2)$
- (iii) $\text{Re}(z_1) = \text{Re}(z_2)$ and $\text{Im}(z_1) < \text{Im}(z_2)$
- (iv) $\text{Re}(z_1) < \text{Re}(z_2)$ and $\text{Im}(z_1) < \text{Im}(z_2)$

We write $z_1 \leq z_2$ if $z_1 \neq z_2$ and one of (ii) and (iii) are satisfied and we write $z_1 < z_2$ if only (iv) is satisfied.

We denote the symbol $\mathbb{C}_0, \mathbb{C}_1$, and \mathbb{C}_2 as a set of real, complex and bicomplex numbers respectively. The set of bicomplex numbers defined as:

$$\mathbb{C}_2 = \{w: w = a_1 + a_2i_1 + a_3i_2 + a_4i_1i_2, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{C}_0\}$$

$$\mathbb{C}_2 = \{w: w = z_1 + z_2i_2, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}_1\}$$

Where $z_1 = a_1 + a_2i_1$, $z_2 = a_3 + a_4i_1$ and i_1, i_2 are independent imaginary units such that $i_1^2 = -1 = i_2^2$. The product of i_1 and i_2 defines a hyperbolic unit j such that $j^2 = 1$. The product of all units are commutative and satisfy:

$$i_1i_2 = j, \quad i_1j = -i_2, \quad i_2j = -i_1.$$

The inverse of $u = u_1 + i_2u_2$ exists if $u_1^2 + u_2^2 \neq 0$ i.e $|u_1^2 + u_2^2| \neq 0$ and it is defined as $u^{-1} = \frac{1}{u} = \frac{u_1 - i_2u_2}{u_1^2 + u_2^2}$, and then u is called invertible.

For a bicomplex number $w = z_1 + z_2i_2$, the norm is denoted by $\|z_1 + z_2i_2\|$ and defined by

$$(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2)^{1/2} = (|z_1 - z_2i_1|^2 + |z_1 + z_2i_1|^2)^{1/2}.$$

If we take $w = a_1 + a_2i_1 + a_3i_2 + a_4i_1i_2$ then the norm of w is defined by

$$\|w\| = (a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + a_4^2)^{1/2}$$

The partial order relation \preceq_{i_2} on \mathbb{C}_2 was defined by Demir et. al. [8] as $\tau \preceq_{i_2} v$ if and only if $z_1 \leq w_1$ and $z_2 \leq w_2$, for $\tau = z_1 + z_2i_2$ and $v = w_1 + w_2i_2$ be two bicomplex numbers. It follows that $\tau \preceq_{i_2} v$ if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i) $z_1 = w_1, z_2 = w_2$,
- (ii) $z_1 < w_1, z_2 = w_2$,
- (iii) $z_1 = w_1, z_2 < w_2$,
- (iv) $z_1 < w_1, z_2 < w_2$,

We write $\tau \preceq_{i_2} v$ if $\tau \preceq_{i_2} v$ and $\tau \neq v$ and one of (ii), (iii) and (iv) are satisfied and we will write $\tau < v$ if only (iv) is satisfied.

Definition 2.1: A map $*$: $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called continuous triangular norm, if it's satisfied the following circumstance for $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$:

- (i) $a * b = b * a$ (Symmetry);
- (ii) $a * (b * c) = (a * b) * c$ (Associativity);
- (iii) $a * 1 = a$ (boundary condition);
- (iv) $a * b \leq c * d$ if $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ (Monotonicity);

Definition 2.2[1]: The 3-tuple $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is called FMS if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is t-norm and \mathcal{M} is a fuzzy set on $X \times X \times [0, \infty)$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $p, q \geq 0$ then

$$(FM - 1) \mathcal{M}(x, y, 0) = 0;$$

$$(FM - 2) \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = 1,$$

$$\forall t > 0 \text{ if and only if } x = y;$$

$$(FM - 3) \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = \mathcal{M}(y, x, t);$$

$$(FM - 4) \mathcal{M}(x, y, p) * \mathcal{M}(y, z, q) \leq \mathcal{M}(x, z, p + q);$$

$$(FM - 5) \mathcal{M}(x, y, \cdot): (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0,1] \text{ is continuous.}$$

Example 1: Let (X, d) be a metric space, define $u * v = \min\{u, v\}$ (or $u * v = uv$) for all $u, v \in [0,1]$, define as $\mathcal{M}(u, v, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$;

Example 2: Let $X = [0, \infty)$, $u * v = uv$ for every, $u, v \in [0, 1]$ and d is usual metric defined on X . Define a function $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = e^{-\frac{d(x,y)}{t}}$; $x, y, t \in X, t > 0$ then $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is a FMS.

Note: $(M_{F_S} - 4')$. $\mathcal{M}(x, z, \max\{p, q\}) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, y, p) * \mathcal{M}(y, z, q)$; if the condition $(M_{F_S} - 4)$ of definition (2.2) is replace by $(M_{F_S} - 4')$. then FMS $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is called non-Archimedean FMS. All non-Archimedean FMSs are FMSs as well.

Definition 2.3[25]: A binary operation $*$: $r_s e^{i\theta} \times r_s e^{i\theta} \rightarrow r_s e^{i\theta}$, where $r_s \in [0, 1], \theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ is called complex valued triangular norm (or t-norm) if it satisfies the following properties:

- (a) $*$ is associative and commutative.
- (b) $*$ is continuous.
- (c) $\alpha * e^{i\theta} = \alpha$, for all $\alpha \in e^{i\theta}$.
- (d) $a * b \lesssim_{i_2} c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$, for all $a, b, c, d \in e^{i\theta}$.

Definition 2.4[25]: A complex valued fuzzy metric Space is a triplet $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ Where X is non-empty set, $*$ is a complex valued continuous is t-norm and $\mathcal{M}: X^2 \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow r_s e^{i\theta}$ is complex valued fuzzy set, satisfying the following properties:

- $(M_{CFS} - 1)$ $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) \succ_{i_2} 0$;
- $(M_{CFS} - 2)$ $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = e^{i\theta}$, for all $t > 0$ iff $x = y$;
- $(M_{CFS} - 3)$ $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = \mathcal{M}(y, x, t)$;
- $(M_{CFS} - 4)$ $\mathcal{M}(x, y, p) * \mathcal{M}(y, z, q) \succeq_i \mathcal{M}(x, z, p + q)$;
- $(M_{CFS} - 5)$ $\mathcal{M}(x, y, .): (0, \infty) \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$ is continuous;

For all $x, y, z \in X, p, q > 0, r_s \in [0, 1], \theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.

Then $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is called complex valued fuzzy metric space .

Example 3: (X, d) be a metric space, define $u * v = \min\{u, v\}$ (or $u * v = uv$).

For each $t > 0, x, y \in X$, define $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = e^{i\theta} \frac{ht^n}{ht^n + md(x,y)}$, $h, m, n \in N$.

If $h = m = n = 1$ then $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = e^{i\theta} \frac{t}{t + d(x,y)}$

This complex valued fuzzy metric space induced by a metric did referred to as a standard complex valued fuzzy metric space.

Example 4: (X, d) be a metric space, define $u * v = \max\{u + v - e^{i\theta}, 0\}$, for a fix $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.

Definition 2.5[27]: A binary operation $*$: $r_s(1 + i_2)e^{i\theta} \times r_s(1 + i_2)e^{i\theta} \rightarrow r_s(1 + i_2)e^{i\theta}$, where $r_s \in [0, 1], \theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ is called bicomplex valued triangular norm (or t-norm) if it satisfies the following properties:

- (a) $*$ is associative and commutative.
- (b) $*$ is continuous.
- (c) $\alpha * (1 + i_2)e^{i\theta} = \alpha$, for all $\alpha \in (1 + i_2)e^{i\theta}$.
- (d) $a * b \lesssim_{i_2} c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$, for all $a, b, c, d \in r_s(1 + i_2)e^{i\theta}$.

Definition 2.6[27]: A bicomplex valued fuzzy metric space is a triplet $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ Where X is non-empty set, $*$ is a bicomplex valued continuous is t-norm and $\mathcal{M}: X^2 \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow r_s(1 + i_2)e^{i\theta}$ is bicomplex valued fuzzy set, satisfying the following properties:

- $(M_{BCFS} - 1)$ $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) \succ_{i_2} 0$;
- $(M_{BCFS} - 2)$ $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = (1 + i_2)e^{i\theta}$, for all $t > 0$ iff $x = y$;
- $(M_{BCFS} - 3)$ $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = \mathcal{M}(y, x, t)$;
- $(M_{BCFS} - 4)$ $\mathcal{M}(x, y, p) * \mathcal{M}(y, z, q) \succeq_{i_2} \mathcal{M}(x, z, p + q)$;
- $(M_{BCFS} - 5)$ $\mathcal{M}(x, y, .): (0, \infty) \rightarrow r_s(1 + i_2)e^{i\theta}$ is continuous;

For all $x, y, z \in X, p, q > 0, r_s \in [0, 1], \theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.

Then $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is called bicomplex valued fuzzy metric spaces .

Example 5[27]: let $X = R$, define $u * v = \min\{u, v\}$, for all $u, v \in r_s(1 + i_2)e^{i\theta}$,

Where $r_s \in [0, 1], \theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ and $k: R^+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is non-decreasing continuous function $\mathcal{M}(u, v, t) = r_s(1 + i_2)e^{i\theta} - \frac{|u-v|}{k(t)}$, for all $u, v \in X$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$ then $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is a bicomplex valued fuzzy metric spaces.

Example 6[27]: let $X = N$, define $u * v = \max\{u + v - (1 + i_2)e^{i\theta}, 0\}$, for all $u, v \in r_s(1 + i_2)e^{i\theta}$, Where $r_s \in [0, 1], \theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ and

$\mathcal{M}(u, v, t) = \begin{cases} (1 + i_2)e^{i\theta}, & \text{if } u = v; \\ tuv(1 + i_2)e^{i\theta}, & \text{if } u \neq v \text{ and } t \leq 1; \\ uv(1 + i_2)e^{i\theta}, & \text{if } u \neq v \text{ and } t > 1; \end{cases}$ a for

all $u, v \in X$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$ then $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is a bicomplex valued fuzzy metric spaces.

Definition 2.7: Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a bicomplex valued fuzzy metric space then

- (i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is convergent to a point $x_n \rightarrow x$ and if and only if

$$t > 0, \mathcal{M}(x_n, x, t) \rightarrow (1 + i_2)e^{i_1\theta} \text{ or } |\mathcal{M}(x_n, x, t)| \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty .$$
- (ii) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called Cauchy sequence if and only if

$$t > 0, \mathcal{M}(x_m, x_n, t) \rightarrow (1 + i_2)e^{i_1\theta} \text{ or } |\mathcal{M}(x_m, x_n, t)| \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } m, n \rightarrow \infty .$$
- (iii) Every Cauchy Sequence in X converges to a point of it.

Definition 2.8: Let X be a set, F and G be a self maps of X then a point $x \in X$ is called a coincidence point of F and G if and only if $Fx = Gx$. We shall call $w = Fx = Gx$ a point of coincidence of F and G .

Definition 2.9: Two maps F and G are said to weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points i.e if $Fz = Gz$ some $z \in X$ then $FGz = GFz$.

Example 7: Let R be the set of real numbers with bicomplex valued fuzzy metric spaces. Define $F, G, R \rightarrow R$ by $F(x) = 3x$ and $G(x) = x/2$ for all $x \in R$ then $F(x) = G(x)$ for $x = 0, 3$ but $FG(0) = GF(0)$ and $FG(3) \neq GF(3)$. F and G are occasionally weakly compatible self maps but not weakly compatible.

Definition 2.10: Let X be a set, F and G be a occasionally weakly compatible self maps of X . if F and G have a unique of coincidence i.e $w = Fx = Gx$ then w is the unique common fixed point of F and G .

Lemma 2.11: A bicomplex valued fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = (1 + i_2)e^{i_1\theta}$, for all $x, y \in X$. if there exists a constant $h \in (0, 1)$ such that $\mathcal{M}(x, y, ht) \succeq_{i_2} \mathcal{M}(x, y, t)$ for all $t > 0$ then $x = y$.

Lemma 2.12: let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a bicomplex valued fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = (1 + i_2)e^{i_1\theta}$, for all $x, y \in X$. if there exists a constant $h \in (0, 1)$ such that $\mathcal{M}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, ht) \succeq_{i_2} \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)$ for all $t > 0$ and $n = 0, 1, 2 \dots$ then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Main Result

Theorem (3.1): A bicomplex valued fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = (1 + i_2)e^{i_1\theta}$. let $P: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying if there exist $h \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M(Px, Py, ht) \succeq_{i_2} \Omega \left[\text{Min} \left\{ M(x, y, t), M(x, Px, t), M(y, Py, t), \frac{M(x, Px, t)M(y, Py, t)}{M(x, y, t)} \right\} \right]$$

$x, y \in X$, and $\Omega: r_s(1 + i_2)e^{i_1\theta} \rightarrow r_s(1 + i_2)e^{i_1\theta}$ with $\Omega(t) > t, 0 < t < 1, r_s \in [0, 1]$,

$\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ Then P has a unique fixed point of X .

Proof: let $\sigma_0 \in X$ and a sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$ in X define by $\sigma_{n+1} = P\sigma_n, n = 0, 1, 2 \dots$ then there are two possibilities are arise:

Possibilities 1: when $\sigma_n \neq \sigma_{n+1}$

Put $x = \sigma_n$ and $y = \sigma_{n+1}$ in inequality 3.1 then we have

$$M(P\sigma_n, P\sigma_{n+1}, ht) \succeq_{i_2} \Omega \left[\text{Min} \left\{ M(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, t), M(\sigma_n, P\sigma_n, t), M(\sigma_{n+1}, P\sigma_{n+1}, t), \frac{M(\sigma_n, P\sigma_n, t)M(\sigma_{n+1}, P\sigma_{n+1}, t)}{M(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, t)} \right\} \right]$$

$$M(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, ht) \succeq_{i_2} \Omega \left[\text{Min} \left\{ M(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, t), M(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, t), M(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, t), \frac{M(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, t)M(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, t)}{M(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, t)} \right\} \right]$$

$$M(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, ht) \succeq_{i_2} \Omega \left[\text{Min} \left\{ M(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, t), M(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, t), M(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, t), M(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, t) \right\} \right]$$

If $\text{Min} \left\{ M(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, t), M(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, t), M(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, t), M(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, t) \right\} = M(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, t)$ then

$M(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, ht) \succeq_{i_2} M(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, t)$, which is contradiction.

Thus $\text{Min} \left\{ M(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, t), M(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, t), M(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, t), M(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, t) \right\} = M(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, t)$ then

$$M(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, ht) \succeq_{i_2} \Omega[M(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, t)]$$

$$M(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}, ht) \succeq_{i_2} M(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, t)$$

$$M(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}, t) \succeq_{i_2} M\left(\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n, \frac{t}{h}\right)$$

$$\approx_{i_2} M\left(\sigma_{n-2}, \sigma_{n-1}, \frac{t}{h^2}\right)$$

$$\approx_{i_2} M\left(\sigma_{n-3}, \sigma_{n-2}, \frac{t}{h^3}\right)$$

$$\approx_{i_2} M\left(\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \frac{t}{h^n}\right)$$

$$\approx_{i_2} \left\{ \Omega \left[\text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M\left(\sigma, \sigma, \frac{t}{2h}\right), M\left(\sigma, P\sigma, \frac{t}{2h}\right), \\ M\left(\sigma, P\sigma, \frac{t}{2h}\right), \frac{M\left(\sigma, P\sigma, \frac{t}{2h}\right)M\left(\sigma, P\sigma, \frac{t}{2h}\right)}{M\left(\sigma, \sigma, \frac{t}{2h}\right)} \end{array} \right\} \right] \right\}$$

$$M\left(\sigma, \sigma, \frac{t}{2}\right)$$

In general

$$M\left(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+m}, t\right) \approx_{i_2} M\left(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+m}, \frac{t}{h}\right) * \dots * M\left(\sigma_{n+m}, \sigma_{n+m-1}, \frac{t}{h}\right)$$

$$\approx_{i_2} M\left(\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \frac{t}{h^n}\right) * \dots * M\left(\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \frac{t}{h^{n+m}}\right)$$

$$\approx_{i_2} \left\{ \Omega \left[\text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1+i_2)e^{i_1\theta}, M\left(\sigma, P\sigma, \frac{t}{2h}\right), \\ M\left(\sigma, P\sigma, \frac{t}{2h}\right), \frac{M\left(\sigma, P\sigma, \frac{t}{2h}\right)M\left(\sigma, P\sigma, \frac{t}{2h}\right)}{(1+i_2)e^{i_1\theta}} \end{array} \right\} \right] \right\}$$

$$(1+i_2)e^{i_1\theta}$$

$$M(P\sigma, \sigma, t) \approx_{i_2} M\left(\sigma, P\sigma, \frac{t}{2h}\right)$$

Making $n \rightarrow \infty$ then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M\left(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+m}, t\right) \approx_{i_2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ M\left(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+m}, \frac{t}{h}\right) * \dots * M\left(\sigma_{n+m}, \sigma_{n+m-1}, \frac{t}{h}\right) \right\}$$

Which gives $M(P\sigma, \sigma, t) = (1+i_2)e^{i_1\theta}$ because $h \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$

$$\Rightarrow P\sigma = \sigma$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = (1+i_2)e^{i_1\theta}$$

Thus a is a fixed point of P . Hence a is a common fixed point of mappings P .

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M\left(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+m}, t\right) \approx_{i_2} (1+i_2)e^{i_1\theta} * (1+i_2)e^{i_1\theta} * \dots * (1+i_2)e^{i_1\theta}$$

Uniqueness: let $b \in X$ be another common fixed point of mappings P such that $a \neq b$ so $Pa = Pb$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M\left(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+m}, t\right) \approx_{i_2} (1+i_2)e^{i_1\theta}$$

Then by inequality 3.1

We observe that $\{\sigma_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in X . since X is complete, there exists some $a \in X$ such that $\sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Implying thereby the convergence of $\{\sigma_n\}$ and $\{\sigma_{n+1}\}$ being sub-sequences of the convergent sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$. Then $\sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma$ and $\sigma_{n+1} \rightarrow \sigma$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$M(Pa, Pb, ht) \approx_{i_2} \Omega \left[\text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(a, b, t), M(a, Pa, t), \\ M(b, Pb, t), \frac{M(a, Pa, t)M(b, Pb, t)}{M(a, b, t)} \end{array} \right\} \right]$$

$$M(a, b, ht) \approx_{i_2} \Omega \left[\text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(a, b, t), (1+i_2)e^{i_1\theta}, \\ (1+i_2)e^{i_1\theta}, \frac{(1+i_2)e^{i_1\theta}(1+i_2)e^{i_1\theta}}{M(a, b, t)} \end{array} \right\} \right]$$

Now we shall show that σ is a fixed point of P .

$$M(a, b, ht) \approx_{i_2} \Omega \left[\text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(a, b, t), (1+i_2)e^{i_1\theta}, \\ (1+i_2)e^{i_1\theta}, \frac{(1+i_2)e^{i_1\theta}(1+i_2)e^{i_1\theta}}{M(a, b, t)} \end{array} \right\} \right]$$

Now consider

$$M(P\sigma, \sigma, t) \approx_{i_2} M\left(P\sigma, \sigma_{n+1}, \frac{t}{2}\right) * M\left(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma, \frac{t}{2}\right)$$

$$\approx_{i_2} \left\{ \Omega \left[\text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M\left(\sigma, \sigma_{n+1}, \frac{t}{2h}\right), M\left(\sigma, P\sigma, \frac{t}{2h}\right), \\ M\left(\sigma_{n+1}, P\sigma_{n+1}, \frac{t}{2h}\right), \frac{M\left(\sigma, P\sigma, \frac{t}{2h}\right)M\left(\sigma_{n+1}, P\sigma_{n+1}, \frac{t}{2h}\right)}{M\left(\sigma, \sigma_{n+1}, \frac{t}{2h}\right)} \end{array} \right\} \right] \right\} * M\left(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma, \frac{t}{2}\right)$$

Since $M(a, b, t) \in r_s(1+i_2)e^{i_1\theta}$ with $\Omega(t) > t, r_s \in [0, 1], \theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$

$$M(a, b, ht) \approx_{i_2} \Omega[M(a, b, t)]$$

$$M(a, b, ht) \approx_{i_2} M(a, b, t)$$

By lemma 3.11 we get $a = b$

Thus a is the unique common fixed point of P .

Possibilities 2: when $\sigma_n \neq \sigma_{n+1}$

We observe that $\{\sigma_n\}$ is a constant sequence and so convergent. This concludes the proof.

Theorem (3.2): A bicomplex valued fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = (1 + i_2)e^{i_1\theta}$. let $P, Q, R, T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying and the pairs (P, R) and (Q, T) be occasionally weakly compatible if there exist $h \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M(Px, Qy, ht) \succeq_{i_2} \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(Rx, Ty, t), M(Rx, Px, t), \\ M(Rx, Qy, t), M(Qy, Ty, t) \end{array} \right\}$$

$x, y \in X$, and $0 < t < 1$ then there exist a unique common fixed point of P, Q, R and T .

Proof: Since the pairs (P, R) and (Q, T) be occasionally weakly compatible, so there are points $x, y \in X$ such that $Px = Rx$ and $Qy = Ty$.

Now we shall claim $Px = Qy$, if not then by inequality (3.2) we have

$$M(Px, Qy, ht) \succeq_{i_2} \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(Rx, Ty, t), M(Px, Px, t), \\ M(Px, Qy, t), M(Ty, Ty, t) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$M(Px, Qy, ht) \succeq_{i_2} \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(Rx, Ty, t), (1 + i_2)e^{i_1\theta}, \\ M(Px, Qy, t), (1 + i_2)e^{i_1\theta} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$M(Px, Qy, ht) \succeq_{i_2} M(Px, Qy, t)$$

Therefore in view of lemma 2.11, we have $Px = Qy$.

$$i.e Px = Rx = Qy = Ty.$$

Suppose that there is another coincidence point $u \in X$ such that $Pu = Ru$.

Then by inequality (3.2)

$$M(Pu, Qy, ht) \succeq_{i_2} \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(Ru, Ty, t), M(Ru, Pu, t), \\ M(Ru, Qy, t), M(Qy, Ty, t) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$M(Pu, Qy, ht) \succeq_{i_2} \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(Pu, Qy, t), M(Pu, Pu, t), \\ M(Pu, Qy, t), M(Qy, Qy, t) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$M(Pu, Qy, ht) \succeq_{i_2} \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(Pu, Qy, t), (1 + i_2)e^{i_1\theta}, \\ M(Pu, Qy, t), (1 + i_2)e^{i_1\theta} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$M(Pu, Qy, ht) \succeq_{i_2} M(Pu, Qy, t)$$

Therefore in view of lemma 2.11, we have $Pu = Qy$.

$$i.e Pu = Ru = Qy = Ty.$$

So $Px = Pu$ and $w = Px = Rx$ is the unique point of coincidence of pair (P, R) .

Similarly we can show if there is a unique common fixed point $z \in X$ in pair (Q, T) .

Such that $u = Qu = Tu$.

Suppose that $w \neq u$ then by inequality (3.2)

$$M(Pw, Qu, ht) \succeq_{i_2} \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(Rw, Tu, t), M(Rw, Pw, t), \\ M(Rw, Qu, t), M(Qu, Tu, t) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$M(w, u, ht) \succeq_{i_2} \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(w, u, t), M(w, w, t), \\ M(w, u, t), M(u, u, t) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$M(w, u, ht) \succeq_{i_2} \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(w, u, t), (1 + i_2)e^{i_1\theta}, \\ M(w, u, t), (1 + i_2)e^{i_1\theta} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$M(w, u, ht) \succeq_{i_2} M(w, u, t)$$

Therefore we have $w = u$ then by lemma 2.11, u is a common fixed point of P, Q, R and T .

Uniqueness: let v be the another common fixed point of P, Q, R and T such that $u \neq v$

Then by inequality (3.2)

$$M(Pu, Qv, ht) \succeq_{i_2} \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(Ru, Tv, t), M(Ru, Pu, t), \\ M(Ru, Qv, t), M(Qv, Tv, t) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$M(u, v, ht) \succeq_{i_2} \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(u, v, t), M(u, u, t), \\ M(u, v, t), M(v, v, t) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$M(u, v, ht) \succeq_{i_2} \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(u, v, t), (1 + i_2)e^{i_1\theta}, \\ M(u, v, t), (1 + i_2)e^{i_1\theta} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$M(u, v, ht) \succeq_{i_2} M(u, v, t)$$

By lemma 2.11, we obtain $u = v$.

Therefore $u = v$ is a unique common fixed point of P, Q, R and T .

Example 7: let $X = \{0\} \cup N$, Consider $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ be a metric space,

Let $m * n = \min\{m, n\}$. for x, y on X . define,
for all $m, n \in r_s(1 + i_2)e^{i_1\theta}$,

Where, $r_s \in [0,1], \theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, for each $t > 0, x, y \in X$.

Define $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = (1 + i_2)e^{i_1\theta} \frac{t}{t+d(x,y)}$
with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = (1 + i_2)e^{i_1\theta}$.

Now we define the self maps P on X by

$Px = \frac{x}{5}$, for all $x \in X$.

Set $h = \frac{1}{3}$ if $x \neq y$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}\left(Px, Py, \frac{t}{2}\right) &= (1 + i_2)e^{i_1\theta} \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\frac{t}{3} + |Px - Py|} \\ &= (1 + i_2)e^{i_1\theta} \frac{t}{t + 3\left|\frac{x}{5} - \frac{y}{5}\right|} \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{M}(Px, Py, ht)$

$$\approx_{i_2} \Omega \left[\text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}(x, y, t), \mathcal{M}(x, Px, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(y, Py, t), \frac{\mathcal{M}(x, Px, t)\mathcal{M}(y, Py, t)}{\mathcal{M}(x, y, t)} \end{array} \right\} \right]$$

Therefore, the maps P satisfies the theorem 3.1 for $h = 1/3$. Therefore $x = 0$ is unique common fixed point.

III. CONCLUSION

In many scientific domains, fixed point theory has several applications. Common fixed point findings in bicomplex valued fuzzy metric spaces are established in this research. Using sometimes weakly compatible (owc) conditions, our results enhanced, expanded, and generalized some findings in the literature. These findings can be applied to the solution of LPP in dynamic programming, quantum mechanics, image processing, control systems, machine learning, robotics, digital problems, economics, and other fields. These uses demonstrate how complex valued fuzzy metric spaces may be used to handle a wide range of data kinds and uncertainty, making them an invaluable tool in many engineering and scientific fields.

REFERENCES:

[1] Aage, C. T., & Salunke, J. N. (2011). On fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces using a control function. International

Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, 2(1), 50-57.
[2] Al-Thagafi, M. A., & Shahzad, N. (2008). Generalized I-non expansive self maps and invariant approximations. Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, 24(5), 867-876.
[3] Arora, R., & Kumar, M. (2016). Unique fixed point theorems for α - ψ -contractive type mappings in fuzzy metric space. Cogent Mathematics, 3(1), 1183286.
[4] Azam, A. (2011). Fuzzy fixed points of fuzzy mappings via a rational inequality. Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 40(3), 421-431.
[5] Buckley, J. (1989). Fuzzy complex numbers. Fuzzy sets and systems, 33(3), 333-345.
[6] Buckley, J. J., & Eslami, E. (2002). An introduction to fuzzy logic and fuzzy sets (Vol. 13). Springer Science & Business Media.
[7] Chauhan, S., Bhatnagar, S., & Radenović, S. (2013). Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces. Le Matematiche, 68(1), 87-98.
[8] Demir, I. (2021). Fixed Point Theorems in complex valued fuzzy b-metric spaces with application to integral equations. Miskolc Mathematical Notes, 22(1), 153-171.
[9] George, A., & Veeramani, P. (1994). On some results in fuzzy metric spaces. Fuzzy sets and systems, 64(3), 395-399.
[10] Gregori, V., & Sapena, A. (2002). On fixed-point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces. Fuzzy sets and systems, 125(2), 245-252.
[11] Gregori, V., Miñana, J. J., Roig, B., & Sapena, A. (2024). On Completeness and Fixed Point Theorems in Fuzzy Metric Spaces. Mathematics, 12(2), 287.
[12] Gupta, V., Saini, R. K., & Verma, M. (2018). Fixed point theorems for single valued α - ψ -mappings in fuzzy metric spaces. Communications Faculty of Sciences University of Ankara Series A1 Mathematics and Statistics, 68(1), 392-400.
[13] Kanwal, S., Shagari, M. S., Aydi, H., Mukheimer, A., & Abdeljawad, T. (2022). Common fixed-point results of fuzzy mappings and applications on stochastic Volterra integral equations. Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2022(1), 110.
[14] Kramosil, I., & Michálek, J. (1975). Fuzzy metrics and statistical metric spaces. Kybernetika, 11(5), 336-344.
[15] Mishra, R. K., & Choudhary, S. (2010). On fixed point theorems in Fuzzy metric spaces. International Magazine on Advances in Computer Science and Telecommunications, 1(1), 45.

- [16] Namdev R., Tiwari R., Singh U., & Bhardwaj, R. (2024). Common Fixed-Point Theorems for Rational Expression in Fuzzy Metric Space using E.A like Property. *African Journal of Biological Sciences*, 6(10).
- [17] Pant R.P, A Common fixed point theorem under a new condition .*Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*.vol 30 (1999),147-152
- [18] Ramot, D., Friedman, M., Langholz, G., Milo, R., & Kandel, A. (2001, December). On complex fuzzy sets. In 10th IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems.(Cat. No. 01CH37297) (Vol. 3, pp. 1160-1163). IEEE.
- [19] Ramot, D., Milo, R., Friedman, M., & Kandel, A. (2002). Complex fuzzy sets. *IEEE transactions on fuzzy systems*, 10(2), 171-186.
- [20] Rao, K. P. R., Rao, K. R. K., & Sedghi, S. (2014). Common coupled fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces. *Gazi University Journal of Science*, 27(2), 739-745.
- [21] Samanta, T. K., & Mohinta, S. (2012). Common fixed point theorems for single and set-valued maps in Non-Archimedean fuzzy metric spaces. *Acta Univ. Sapientiae Math*, 4(2), 132-144.
- [22] Sedghi, S., Altun, I., & Shobe, N. (2010). Coupled fixed point theorems for contractions in fuzzy metric spaces. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 72(3-4), 1298-1304.
- [23] Shukla, S., Gopal, D., & Sintunavarat, W. (2018). A new class of fuzzy contractive mappings and fixed point theorems. *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 350, 85-94.
- [24] Singh, B. (2000). Common fixed points of compatible maps in fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy sets and systems*.
- [25] Singh, D., Joshi, V., Imdad, M., & Kumam, P. (2016). A novel framework of complex valued fuzzy metric spaces and fixed point theorems. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 30(6), 3227-3238.
- [26] Singh, U., Singh, N., Singh, R., & Bhardwaj, R. (2022). Common Invariant Point Theorem for Multi-valued Generalized Fuzzy Mapping in b-Metric Space. In *Recent Trends in Design, Materials and Manufacturing: Selected Proceedings of ICRADMM 2020* (pp. 23-35). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
- [27] Tiwari, R., & Rajput, S. (2022). On (ψ, ϕ) Contraction in Bicomplex Valued Fuzzy b-Metric Spaces with Application. *European Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 3(4), 43-53.
- [28] Wardowski, D. (2013). Fuzzy contractive mappings and fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 222, 108-114.
- [29] Zadeh, L. A., Klir, G. J., & Yuan, B. (1996). *Fuzzy sets, fuzzy logic, and fuzzy systems: selected papers* (Vol. 6). World scientific.