Advances and Challenges in the Diagnosis of Lumpy Skin Disease in Cattle: A Comprehensive Review

Shraddha Donge[1], Shaheen Ayyub[2]
[1]M. Tech Scholar, [2]Assistant Professor
Department of CSE, TIT, Bhopal, India

Abstract: Cattle are susceptible to Lumpy Skin illness (LSD), a serious infectious illness that has a big effect on the dairy and agricultural sectors worldwide. The lumpy skin disease virus (LSDV), the causal agent, causes severe clinical symptoms such as fever, skin nodules, and decreased milk supply, all of which add up to significant financial losses. This thorough analysis looks at the difficulties and developments in diagnosing LSD in cattle today, emphasizing how diagnostic procedures have changed from traditional approaches to state-of-the-art molecular and serological testing. We go over the precision, effectiveness, and usefulness of several diagnostic techniques, such as support vector machine (SVM), random forest (RF), and LSTM model, as well as newer technologies like digital tools and artificial intelligence applications in pattern recognition and image analysis. The study highlights important issues such the need for high test specificity and sensitivity, regional variations in diagnostic ability, and the constraints encountered in environments with limited resources. We also look at how these diagnostic techniques may be included into all-encompassing control plans, stressing the need of consistent immunization, biosecurity precautions, and effective monitoring systems. The study also addresses the commercial and regulatory ramifications of diagnostic procedures, suggesting future lines of inquiry to improve diagnostic capacities and, eventually, lessen the influence of LSD on the world's cattle trade. In order to provide improved health and financial results for the cattle business, this study attempts to give policymakers, researchers, and practitioners a starting point for creating methods to enhance LSD management and control.

Keywords: LSD, SVM, RF, LSTM, Diagnosis.

***How to cite this article**: Shraddha Donge, Shaheen Ayyub, "Advances and Challenges in the Diagnosis of Lumpy Skin Disease in Cattle: A Comprehensive Review" Published in International Journal of Scientific Modern Research and Technology (IJSMRT), ISSN: 2582-8150, Volume-15, Issue-2, Number-2, May 2024, pp.10-19, URL: https://www.ijsmrt.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/01/ IJSMRT-24150202.pdf*

IJSMRT-24150202

I. INTRODUCTION

Lumpy Skin Disease (LSD) is a contagious viral infection that affects cattle, causing significant health and economic issues in the agricultural and dairy industries. The disease is caused by the lumpy skin disease virus (LSDV), which belongs to the Capripoxvirus genus of the Poxviridae family. It primarily affects cattle and is characterized by the appearance of nodules on the skin and other severe clinical symptoms such as fever, reduced milk production, weight loss, and sometimes, even death.

Impact on Cattle Populations

LSD has a profound impact on cattle populations, particularly in endemic regions. The disease not only causes physical distress and suffering to infected animals but also leads to decreased productivity. Affected cattle often show a marked reduction in milk yield and a deterioration in body condition, which can lead to long-term economic losses for farmers. Additionally, the disease can cause permanent damage to the hide of the animal, significantly reducing its commercial value.

Economic Implications for the Agriculture and Dairy Industries

The economic implications of LSD are substantial:

- Loss of Productivity: Infected cattle suffer from weight loss and decreased milk production. For dairy farmers, this directly translates into reduced income from milk sales.

- Trade Restrictions: Outbreaks of LSD can lead to restrictions on international trade. Countries with active LSD cases may face bans on exporting cattle and cattle products, which can severely impact the economy.

- Control and Prevention Costs: The costs associated with controlling an outbreak, including vaccination, quarantine, and, in some cases, culling of affected animals, can be substantial. These preventive measures, while necessary, require significant financial outlay from both governments and individual farmers.

- Decrease in Meat and Milk Quality: LSD can compromise the quality of meat and milk, reducing its market value. This not only affects farmers directly but also impacts the entire supply chain, including processing and retail sectors.

- Impact on Smallholder Farmers: In many regions, particularly in developing countries, smallholder farmers are particularly vulnerable to the effects of LSD. An outbreak can devastate their livestock, which for many is a primary source of income and capital.

Given these challenges, managing LSD is a priority in affected regions to mitigate its impact on the cattle industry and broader agricultural economy. Effective strategies, including regular vaccination campaigns, strict biosecurity measures, and timely diagnosis, are essential to control the spread of the disease and minimize its economic and health repercussions.

Purpose

The purpose of a review on the "Diagnosis of Lumpy Skin Disease in Cattle" is to provide a comprehensive overview and critical analysis of the current methodologies and advancements in the detection and diagnosis of Lumpy Skin Disease (LSD) in cattle. This review aims to:

Evaluate Existing Diagnostic Techniques: Assess the efficacy, accuracy, and practicality of existing diagnostic methods such as clinical examination, serological tests (e.g., ELISA, virus neutralization tests), and molecular techniques (e.g., PCR and LAMP). This involves a detailed examination of the strengths and limitations of each method in various settings.

Highlight Technological Advancements: Discuss recent innovations and technological improvements in diagnostic tools and techniques that have enhanced the speed, accuracy, and accessibility of LSD diagnostics. This includes the integration of digital tools, automation, and potential use of artificial intelligence in image analysis and pattern recognition.

Identify Gaps and Challenges: Identify the current gaps in the diagnostic landscape, including limitations in sensitivity and specificity, issues with sample collection and handling, and challenges faced in resource-limited settings. This also covers the variability in diagnostic capacity between developed and developing regions.

Explore Integration of Diagnostic Approaches: Analyze how different diagnostic methods can be integrated into a comprehensive diagnostic strategy, including the role of rapid on-site tests and confirmatory laboratory-based tests in a tiered diagnostic framework.

Recommend Best Practices: Based on the reviewed data, suggest best practices for the diagnosis of LSD in cattle, tailored to different farm sizes, regions, and available resources. This will include recommendations for routine surveillance, outbreak investigation, and confirmation of disease eradication.

Discuss Regulatory and Policy Implications: Examine the impact of diagnostic practices on regulatory policies and international trade, particularly how improvements in diagnostic methods can facilitate trade and comply with international health regulations.

Future Research Directions: Propose areas for future research to address unresolved challenges in the diagnosis of LSD, encouraging innovation in diagnostic technologies and methodologies to improve overall disease management.

The ultimate goal of this review is to provide stakeholders, including veterinarians, researchers, policymakers, and farm managers, with up-to-date knowledge that can drive improvements in LSD management strategies, enhance animal health and welfare, and reduce the economic burden of the disease on the cattle industry.

Scope

The scope of a review focused on the "Diagnosis of Lumpy Skin Disease in Cattle" would encompass several key areas to comprehensively cover the various aspects of diagnosing this disease. Here is an outline of the specific areas that the review would aim to cover:

A. Diagnostic Techniques

Clinical Diagnosis: Evaluate the criteria and effectiveness of diagnosing LSD based on clinical signs and symptoms observed in cattle.

Serological Tests: Cover the use and accuracy of serological methods like Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA), virus neutralization tests (VNT), and other antibody detection methods.

Molecular Diagnostics: Discuss the application, reliability, and accessibility of molecular techniques such as Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP), and other DNA-based methods.

Imaging and Other Advanced Techniques: Explore the role of imaging technologies (if applicable) and other novel diagnostic tools in identifying and confirming LSD.

B. Technological Innovations

Recent Developments: Highlight recent advances in diagnostic technology, including portable and field-applicable diagnostic kits, automated systems, and the potential use of AI for improving diagnostic processes.

Integration of Diagnostic Modalities: Discuss how different diagnostic technologies can be integrated into a comprehensive diagnostic protocol.

The review aims to provide a detailed, well-rounded analysis of the current state of LSD diagnostics, considering both the technical aspects of various diagnostic methods and the broader implications of these methods on disease control, economic impacts, and regulatory environments. This comprehensive scope ensures that the review will be valuable to a wide range of stakeholders, including researchers, veterinarians, policy makers, and cattle industry professionals.

II. RELATED WORK

Lumpy Skin Disease

Lumpy Skin Disease (LSD) is a significant viral disease in cattle caused by the Lumpy Skin Disease Virus (LSDV), a member of the Capripoxvirus genus. The disease has been widely studied due to its economic importance, characterized by severe production losses, trade restrictions, and high morbidity and mortality rates in affected regions. Recent literature underscores its epidemiology, transmission dynamics, clinical manifestations, and control strategies.

Epidemiological studies have traced LSD's origins to sub-Saharan Africa, but its geographic range has expanded significantly, impacting regions in Asia, Europe, and the Middle East (Tuppurainen and Oura, 2012; Balinsky et al., 2008). This expansion is largely attributed to the mobility of vectors and the increased trade of live animals (Mercier et al., 2017). LSD primarily spreads through hematophagous insects such as Aedes aegypti, Stomoxys calcitrans, and Culicoides spp., emphasizing the role of vector ecology in understanding disease spread (Chihota et al., 2001; Lubinga et al., 2014).

Clinical manifestations of LSD include fever, nodular lesions on the skin and mucous membranes, lymphadenopathy, and reduced milk production (Tuppurainen et al., 2005). The virus can result in secondary bacterial infections, further complicating clinical outcomes (Weiss, 1968). Mortality rates vary by region and breed, with indigenous breeds often showing greater resilience compared to exotic breeds, highlighting genetic factors in disease resistance (Gari et al., 2011; Tageldin et al., 2014).

Molecular characterization of LSDV has provided critical insights into its genome, facilitating the development of diagnostic tools and vaccines (Tulman et al., 2001). Live attenuated vaccines, such as the Neethling strain, are widely used, although their efficacy varies, particularly under field conditions (Babiuk et al., 2008; Milovanović et al., 2019). Recent research has explored the potential of recombinant vaccines and vector control measures, with promising results in mitigating outbreaks (Carn and Kitching, 1995; Awad et al., 2021).

Economic analyses reveal that LSD incurs substantial financial losses due to reduced milk yield, weight loss, infertility, and costs associated with treatment and vaccination (Shawky et al., 2017; Molla et al., 2017). The trade implications are profound, as countries impose bans on livestock imports from affected regions, further compounding economic impacts (Gari et al., 2011).

Despite significant advancements, challenges remain in controlling LSD. Gaps in understanding vector biology, the potential for wildlife reservoirs, and limitations in vaccine coverage hinder eradication efforts (Coetzer, 2004; Abutarbush et al., 2015). Climate change and globalization are expected to exacerbate these challenges, necessitating integrated approaches that combine epidemiological, molecular, and socioeconomic insights (Sevik and Dogan, 2017; Tasioudi et al., 2016).

Detection Techniques of LSD

The application of deep learning (DL) and machine learning (ML) techniques in the detection of Lumpy Skin Disease (LSD) has emerged as a promising frontier in veterinary diagnostics. These approaches leverage computational algorithms to analyze complex datasets, enabling rapid, accurate, and scalable detection of the Lumpy Skin Disease Virus (LSDV). This literature review explores the use of DL and ML methods in LSD detection, emphasizing advancements in image analysis, molecular diagnostics, and predictive modeling.

DL methods, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs), have been extensively studied for their efficacy in image-based diagnosis of LSD. CNNs excel in analyzing digital images of skin lesions, enabling automated detection and classification of LSD among other bovine diseases (Abbas et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2020). Transfer learning approaches, utilizing pre-trained models like ResNet, VGGNet, and Inception, have demonstrated high accuracy in classifying LSD lesions, reducing the need for large annotated datasets (Hussain et al., 2022). These methods are particularly beneficial in resource-constrained regions where veterinary expertise is limited.

In molecular diagnostics, ML algorithms such as support vector machines (SVMs), random forests, and k-nearest neighbors (k-NN) have been employed to analyze genomic and proteomic data. These algorithms can identify genetic markers specific to LSDV, facilitating differentiation from related poxviruses (Abutarbush et al., 2019). For instance, SVM-based classifiers trained on viral genomic sequences have shown remarkable specificity in distinguishing LSDV from sheep poxvirus and goat poxvirus (Gelaye et al., 2021). Additionally, ensemble learning techniques have improved the robustness of molecular diagnostics by integrating multiple predictive models (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2022).

Emerging DL frameworks have further enhanced the capability to predict disease outbreaks based on environmental and epidemiological data. Recurrent neural networks (RNNs) and long short-term memory (LSTM) networks are being explored for time-series analysis of climate and vector population data, predicting the likelihood of LSD outbreaks (Khan et al., 2023). These models utilize weather patterns, livestock movement data, and vector densities to provide early warnings, aiding in proactive disease management.

Hybrid models combining DL with Internet of Things (IoT) devices and geographic information systems (GIS) have also been proposed for real-time surveillance of LSD. IoT-enabled sensors can capture data on animal health and environmental conditions, which are then processed using DL algorithms to identify anomalies indicative of LSD infection (Saini et al., 2023). Such integrated systems are particularly valuable for large-scale monitoring of cattle populations.

Despite the promising results, challenges persist in implementing DL and ML-based diagnostic tools for LSD. The requirement for large, high-quality datasets remains a critical bottleneck, especially for DL methods (Zhao et al., 2021). Efforts to create publicly available datasets of LSD lesion images, genomic sequences, and epidemiological records are ongoing but require greater international collaboration. Additionally, computational requirements for DL models can be prohibitive in regions with limited access to advanced hardware (Garg et al., 2022).

To address these limitations, researchers are exploring lightweight ML algorithms and cloud-based solutions to make diagnostic tools more accessible. Federated learning, which allows collaborative model training across distributed datasets without data centralization, has emerged as a promising solution to data privacy concerns (Liu et al., 2023). Furthermore, explainable AI (XAI) frameworks are being developed to enhance the interpretability of ML models, fostering trust and adoption among veterinarians and policymakers (Bhaduri et al., 2021).

Future research is expected to focus on integrating DL and ML techniques into mobile platforms, enabling on-site diagnostics using smartphone cameras and portable sequencing devices. The development of user-friendly interfaces for veterinary practitioners will be crucial to maximizing the utility of these technologies (Patel et al., 2023). Additionally, interdisciplinary collaborations between computer scientists, veterinarians, and epidemiologists will be essential for advancing DL and ML-based solutions for LSD detection.

III. COMPARATIVE STUDY OF TECHNICAL ASPECTS

Table 1: Analysis of Machine and Deep Learning Techniques for LSD Detection

References	Technique	Application	Advantages	Limitations
Abbas et al. (2021); Chen et al. (2020)	Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)	Image-based detection of LSD lesions	High accuracy; Handles complex visual data	Requires large labeled datasets; Computationally intensive
Hussain et al. (2022)	Transfer Learning	Classification of skin lesions	Reduces training time with pre-trained models	Limited adaptability to new datasets
Gelaye et al. (2021)	Support Vector Machines (SVM)	Genomic sequence analysis	High specificity for small datasets	Poor performance on large, noisy datasets
Mukhopadhyay et al. (2022)	Random Forests	Genomic data classification	Robust to overfitting; Interpretable results	Less effective for high-dimensional data

Abutarbush et al. (2019)	k-Nearest Neighbors (k-NN)	Genomic data classification	Simple to implement; Effective for small datasets	High computational cost for large datasets
Khan et al. (2023)	Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)	Time-series outbreak prediction	Good for sequential data; Captures temporal dependencies	Vanishing gradient issues; Requires large data
Khan et al. (2023)	Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM)	Predicting LSD outbreaks	Handles long-term dependencies effectively	Computationally expensive; Data-intensive
Gelaye et al. (2013)	Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) + ML	Molecular diagnostic data analysis	Field deployable; Cost-effective	Limited scalability; Requires validation
Saini et al. (2023)	Hybrid IoT + DL Systems	Real-time surveillance	Scalable; Combines multiple data streams	Infrastructure requirements; High setup costs
Liu et al. (2023)	Federated Learning	Distributed training for LSD detection	Preserves data privacy; Collaborative training	Requires robust communication infrastructure
Bhaduri et al. (2021)	Explainable AI (XAI)	Enhancing interpretability of models	Builds trust in AI systems	Limited availability of XAI tools for LSD
Mukhopadhyay et al. (2022)	Ensemble Learning	Combining models for genomic analysis	Improves robustness; Reduces bias	Increased complexity; Hard to interpret
Patel et al. (2023)	Portable Sequencing + DL	On-site diagnostics	Enables field diagnostics; Rapid detection	Limited portability in low-resource settings

IV. FUTURE IMPROVEMENTS

Future improvements in machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) techniques for detecting LSD (Lysergic acid diethylamide) can focus on several innovative approaches to enhance accuracy, efficiency, and usability. Here are some potential

improvements:

Advanced Neural Network Architectures: Experiment with newer and more sophisticated deep learning architectures like Capsule Networks, Transformers, or Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) that may improve the detection of complex patterns in chemical signatures specific to LSD.

Feature Engineering and Selection: Enhance feature engineering and selection processes to identify the most informative chemical and physical properties of samples that indicate the presence of LSD. Using techniques like autoencoders for dimensionality reduction could reveal underlying patterns that simpler models might miss.

Transfer Learning: Utilize transfer learning to apply knowledge gained from detecting other substances to the detection of LSD. This can be especially useful when large labeled datasets for LSD are scarce, allowing models trained on other datasets to adapt to LSD detection with minimal training data.

Hybrid Models: Develop hybrid models that combine the strengths of different machine learning methodologies, such as integrating unsupervised learning models with supervised learning to enhance detection capabilities in less controlled environments.

Data Augmentation: Implement data augmentation techniques to artificially expand the training dataset, such as by simulating variations in sample contamination or degradation, which can make the detection models more robust to real-world conditions.

Explainable AI: Invest in explainable AI techniques to make the detection process more transparent and understandable to human experts. This is crucial for gaining trust, particularly in legal and medical contexts where understanding the basis for a

model's prediction is necessary.

Real-Time Analysis and Edge Computing: Develop systems capable of real-time analysis by leveraging edge computing, where machine learning models operate on local devices at the point of testing without the need to communicate with a central server. This can speed up the detection process

significantly.

Multi-Modal Data Integration: Integrate multiple types of data (e.g., spectral data, chromatographic data, and metadata such as time and location of sample collection) into the learning process to improve the accuracy and reliability of LSD detection.

Robustness to Adversarial Attacks: Enhance the robustness of models against adversarial attacks, where slight modifications to input data can fool AI systems. This is particularly important in security-sensitive environments.

Regulatory and Standardization Initiatives: Work with regulatory bodies to set standards and guidelines for the development and deployment of AI models in drug detection, ensuring consistency and reliability across different platforms and jurisdictions.

Interdisciplinary Collaboration: Foster collaboration across disciplines such as chemistry, pharmacology, computer science, and law enforcement to integrate domain-specific knowledge into the development of ML and DL models, ensuring they meet the practical needs of various stakeholders.

By focusing on these areas, machine learning and deep learning technologies can be significantly improved to provide more effective, efficient, and reliable LSD detection tools, helping to address both practical and legal challenges associated with drug detection.

V. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

The use of proposed deep learning method MobileNetV2 along with the ADAM optimizer for LSD (Lysergic acid diethylamide) detection is a powerful approach, especially for applications requiring model deployment on mobile devices due to the efficiency and compact architecture of MobileNetV2. Below is a high-level algorithm outlining how to apply this combination in a machine learning pipeline for the detection of LSD.

Step 1: Data Collection

Collect a dataset consisting of chemical signatures relevant to LSD detection. This might include spectroscopic data, images of chemical reactions, or any other data form that can be associated with the presence of LSD.

Step 2: Data Preprocessing

Normalization: Scale the data to have a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1, or into the range [0, 1], depending on the nature of the data.

Augmentation: Optionally, augment the data to increase the dataset size and variability. This could include synthetic variations using techniques like SMOTE, geometric transformations, or adding noise.

Step 3: Model Configuration

Initialize a MobileNetV2 architecture. This can be done using pre-built models available in machine learning libraries like TensorFlow or PyTorch, often pre-initialized with weights trained on a large dataset like ImageNet.

Modify the top layers of the MobileNetV2 to suit the LSD detection task. This typically involves replacing the final classification layers with new layers tailored to the number of desired outputs (e.g., positive or negative for LSD presence).

Step 4: Set Up the ADAM Optimizer

Configure the ADAM optimizer with an appropriate learning rate, typically starting around 0.001. ADAM automatically adjusts the learning rate during training, which can be fine-tuned based on the validation performance. Set other parameters such as beta1, beta2 (commonly 0.9 and 0.999, respectively), and epsilon (a small number to prevent any division by zero in the implementation).

Step 5: Model Training

Input the preprocessed data into the MobileNetV2 model. Train the model using backpropagation and the ADAM optimizer. Track the loss and accuracy metrics to monitor the training process. Use techniques like early stopping or model checkpoints to prevent overfitting and to save the best model based on validation performance.

Step 6: Model Evaluation

Evaluate the model on a separate test dataset that was not used during the training phase. Analyze the model's performance using appropriate metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score. Confusion matrices can also help in understanding the model's performance across different classes.

Step 7: Model Deployment

Deploy the trained model onto mobile devices. MobileNetV2's architecture is designed to be efficient for mobile environments. Optimize the model for mobile use using techniques such as quantization or pruning if necessary.

Step 8: Real-time Application

Implement the model in a real-time setting where it can receive input data (e.g., from a portable spectrometer) and provide predictions instantly.

Step 9: Continuous Monitoring and Updating

Regularly monitor the model's performance and collect feedback. Update the dataset and retrain the model periodically to adapt to new types of LSD samples or changes in detection environments.

This algorithm provides a comprehensive outline for using MobileNetV2 with the ADAM optimizer for detecting LSD. Implementing this in a real-world scenario would require access to appropriate datasets and potentially regulatory approval, depending on the application's nature and location.

VI. EXPECTED CONCLUSION

High accuracy in identifying LSD is anticipated when the ADAM optimizer is integrated with MobileNetV2, which is renowned for its effectiveness and efficiency in processing image-based data. This is essential in situations when accurate and trustworthy drug identification is required. MobileNetV2 is appropriate for implementation on mobile devices due to its efficient and lightweight architecture. For field operations or locations with limited access to powerful computer resources, this enables real-time processing and analysis right at the site of data gathering. Real-time LSD detection may be accomplished by using this approach, which is essential for prompt decision-making in clinical and law enforcement settings. Using the ADAM optimizer, which dynamically modifies learning rates and works well with sparse gradients, speeds up training and improves model convergence times, resulting in faster deployment and less computing expense during inference. Because deep learning models may learn from continuous data inputs, the suggested technique is made to adjust to new data and changing patterns of LSD consumption or appearance. Scalability across numerous operating settings is made possible by the model's flexibility to be deployed on a variety of mobile devices without requiring major adjustments. This increases the model's usefulness in a range of situational and geographic contexts. The model may learn from new data and situations when there are procedures in place for ongoing monitoring and updating, which helps to maintain high performance even when circumstances change. This flexibility is essential for staying up to date with the constantly changing landscape of drug detection problems. Despite being centered on LSD detection, the approach might be modified for other comparable chemical detection tasks, offering a flexible tool for wider uses in clinical diagnostics and drug enforcement. These results highlight how sophisticated machine learning architectures and optimizers may be used to enhance the speed, precision, and usefulness of diagnostic tools in real-world and difficult settings. In industries that need accurate chemical detection and identification, this might completely change how choices are made and how fast reactions are made.

REFERENCES

[1] Abbas, A., et al. (2021). "Deep learning for image-based detection of lumpy skin disease in cattle." *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 182, 105964.

[2] Abutarbush, S. M., et al. (2015). "Emerging lumpy skin disease outbreaks in cattle: Review of economic impact and control strategies." *Veterinary Research Communications*, 39(2), 133–140.

[3] Abutarbush, S. M., et al. (2019). "Machine learning applications in the genomic detection of lumpy skin disease virus." *Frontiers in Veterinary*

Science, 6, 276.

[4] Awad, W. S., et al. (2021). "Potential of new vaccine candidates for the control of lumpy skin disease in cattle." *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 8, 654289.

[5] Babiuk, S., et al. (2008). "Vaccines against lumpy skin disease, sheep pox and goat pox." *Antiviral Research*, 85(2), 337–347.

[6] Balinsky, C. A., et al. (2008). "Pathogenesis of lumpy skin disease in cattle following experimental infection with Neethling virus." *Archives of Virology*, 153(3), 629–641.

[7] Bhaduri, A., et al. (2021). "Explainable AI for veterinary diagnostics: Applications in lumpy skin disease detection." *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, 118, 102106.

[8] Carn, V. M., and Kitching, R. P. (1995). "The clinical response of cattle experimentally infected with lumpy skin disease virus." *Archives of Virology*, 140(3), 503–513.

[9] Chihota, C. M., et al. (2001). "Mechanical transmission of lumpy skin disease virus by *Aedes aegypti*." *Epidemiology and Infection*, 126(2), 317–321.

[10] Coetzer, J. A. W. (2004). "Lumpy skin disease." *Infectious Diseases of Livestock*, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press.

[11] Chen, H., et al. (2020). "Transfer learning in convolutional neural networks for veterinary image classification." *Veterinary Research*, 51, 99.

[12] Garg, S., et al. (2022). "Overcoming computational challenges in deep learning for animal disease detection." *Journal of Computer Science*, 94, 102946.

[13] Gelaye, E., et al. (2013). "Evaluation of loop-mediated isothermal amplification for field detection of lumpy skin disease virus." *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 60(4), 410–417.

[14] Gelaye, E., et al. (2021). "Machine learning-based genomic analysis of lumpy skin disease virus." *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 68(2), 317–326.

[15] Gari, G., et al. (2011). "Risk factors associated with the occurrence of lumpy skin disease in Ethiopia." *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 102(2), 118–128.

[16] Hussain, M., et al. (2022). "Evaluating pre-trained CNN models for detecting lumpy skin disease lesions." *Veterinary Informatics*, 14(3), 194–203.

[17] Khan, M. A., et al. (2023). "Time-series prediction of lumpy skin disease outbreaks using RNN and LSTM models." *Veterinary Epidemiology and Economics*, 13(1), 98–110.

[18] Lubinga, J. C., et al. (2014). "The role of flies in the transmission of lumpy skin disease." *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 61(5), 411–416.

[19] Liu, Z., et al. (2023). "Federated learning in veterinary medicine: A case study on lumpy skin disease detection." *Journal of AI Research*, 74, 145–162.

[20] Mukhopadhyay, S., et al. (2022). "Ensemble learning for molecular diagnostics of lumpy skin disease." *Veterinary Science Advances*, 45(2), 134–145.

[21] Mercier, A., et al. (2017). "Spread of lumpy skin disease in the Middle East and Europe." *Veterinary Research*, 48(1), 70.

[22] Milovanović, M., et al. (2019). "Attenuated lumpy skin disease vaccine performance." *Vaccine*, 37(1), 143–151.

[23] Molla, W., et al. (2017). "Economic impact of lumpy skin disease and cost-effectiveness of vaccination for the control of outbreaks in Ethiopia." *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 64(4), 1026–1034.

[24] Patel, S., et al. (2023). "Mobile platforms for deep learning-based detection of animal diseases: Opportunities and challenges." *Mobile AI Applications in Agriculture*, 12(3), 223–238.

[25] Saini, K., et al. (2023). "IoT-integrated deep learning frameworks for livestock disease surveillance." *Sensors and Actuators in Agriculture*, 45(2), 178–189.

[26] Sevik, M., and Dogan, M. (2017). "Epidemiological features of lumpy skin disease outbreaks in Turkey." *Veterinary Record*, 181(7), 214.

[26] Shawky, S., et al. (2017). "Impact assessment of lumpy skin disease in Egypt." *Veterinary Microbiology*, 201, 70–75.

[27] Tageldin, M. H., et al. (2014). "Genetic resistance to lumpy skin disease in cattle breeds." *Livestock Science*, 165, 1–6.

[28] Tasioudi, K. E., et al. (2016). "Emerging lumpy skin disease: A review of recent outbreaks and control measures." *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 63(4), e235–e243.

[29] Tulman, E. R., et al. (2001). "The genomic sequence of lumpy skin disease virus." *Journal of Virology*, 75(15), 7122–7130.

[30] Tuppurainen, E. S., and Oura, C. A. (2012). "Lumpy skin disease: An emerging threat to Europe, the Middle East, and Asia." *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 59(1), 40–48.

[31] Tuppurainen, E. S., et al. (2005). "Transmission of lumpy skin disease virus in cattle." *Journal of Comparative Pathology*, 133(4), 307–317.

[32] Weiss, K. E. (1968). "Lumpy skin disease virus." *Advances in Virus Research*, 13, 111–134.

[33] Zhao, X., et al. (2021). "Data challenges in deep learning for veterinary diagnostics." *AI for Agriculture Research*, 7(1), 1–10.